

Seminar on Experience with the implementation and development of performance audits in reaction to challenges and opportunities in a changing environment, Prague 27-29 April 2009

EVALUATION OF SEMINAR - RESULTS

44 foreign participants in total returned the filled in questionnaire. Not all questions in the questionnaire were answered by individual participants, either for the reason that they did not attend a specific meeting (participants had a choice to attend 2 of 4 discussion groups) or they chose not to give an answer.

Participants were asked to answer the given questions by rating their satisfaction on a scale 5 (standing for "complete satisfaction") to 1 (not satisfactory result), 3 standing for average.

Were you given a good understanding of the seminar's objectives?

5	4	3	2	1
34%	45%	18%	3%	0%

Do you think that another person from your SAI should have come to this seminar?

5	4	3	2	1
14%	22%	25%	22%	17%

How well do you think objectives a- "Exchange ideas, share experience and provide information on the recent development of performance auditing" were achieved?

5	4	3	2	1
45%	37%	13%	5%	0%

How well do you think objectives b- "Focus on practical questions relating to performance auditing implementation and execution so that knowledge obtained can be directly applied" were achieved?

5	4	3	2	1
31%	26%	29%	11%	3%

How well do you think objectives c- "Provide added value to both experienced and less experienced SAIs in the field of performance auditing" were achieved?

5	4	3	2	1
24%	50%	8%	10%	8%

Was the programme of the seminar adequate to meet those objectives?

5	4	3	2	1
42%	42%	5%	11%	0%

Was the content of the workshop 1 relevant for application in your job?

5	4	3	2	1
44%	36%	8%	12%	0%

Was the content of the workshop 2 relevant for application in your job?

5	4	3	2	1
42%	47%	11%	0%	0%

Was the content of the workshop 3 relevant for application in your job?

5	4	3	2	1
36%	24%	20%	16%	4%

Was the content of the workshop 4 relevant for application in your job?

5	4	3	2	1
53%	32%	5%	10%	0%

How useful do you find the materials and handouts you received?

5	4	3	2	1
21%	53%	24%	2%	0%

Have you had sufficient opportunities to comment or ask questions?

5	4	3	2	1
69%	26%	5%	0%	0%

Was the approach practical enough?

5	4	3	2	1
41%	32%	18%	6%	3%

How did you find the amount of discussion and exchange of experiences among participants of workshop 1?

5	4	3	2	1
33%	42%	17%	4%	4%

How did you find the amount of discussion and exchange of experiences among participants of workshop 2?

5	4	3	2	1
10%	60%	25%	5%	0%

How did you find the amount of discussion and exchange of experiences among participants of workshop 3?

5	4	3	2	1
25%	40%	20%	10%	5%

How did you find the amount of discussion and exchange of experiences among participants of workshop 4?

5	4	3	2	1
44%	44%	12%	0%	0%

How was the quality of training facilities?

5	4	3	2	1
59%	28%	10%	3%	0%

Which is your overall rating of this seminar?

5	4	3	2	1
48%	30%	12%	10%	0%

Participants were asked to express their opinion on what could have improved the seminar, to comment on the seminar and to make further suggestions and recommendations (such as things important for their work and not covered by the seminar).

“Better English skills of presenters”

“Smaller working groups to stimulate discussions”

“More practical examples and more discussions based on participants’ experience”

“To control the content of the presentations a bit more”

“It is very important to organize more VFM audit trainings and seminars”

“Next time to organize seminars on audit areas to be included in performance audit, on exchange of experiences, on the specific issues related to performance indicators and possibilities of their measurement, on skills and techniques required by performance audit”